



KERALA GAZETTE കേരള ഗസറ്റ്

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത്

Vol. II വാല്യം 2	THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, TUESDAY തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ	19th November 2013 2013 നവംബർ 19 28th Karthika 1935 1935 കാർത്തികം 28	No. നമ്പർ	46
---------------------	---	--	--------------	----

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Rehabilitation Department

Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1554/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 4th September 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri Paul Wilson, Regional Manager, Regional Office, Kosamattom Finance Ulloor, Pongummoodu, Thiruvananthapuram and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri M. Manoharan, Sarvasree, Karichal, Kazhuvor P. O. Thiruvananthapuram in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri M. Manoharan, Manager, Kosamattom Finance Limited, Balaramapuram Branch by the management is justifiable ? If not, what are the reliefs he is entitled to ?

(2)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1555/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 4th September 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Proprietor, Krishna Traders, Balaramapuram, Thiruvananthapuram and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri D. N. Jayakumar, D. N. Nivas, Moovalloorkonam, Naruvamoodu P. O., Thiruvananthapuram in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri D. N. Jayakumar by the management of Krishna Traders, Balaramapuram, Thiruvananthapuram district is justifiable ? If not, what are the reliefs he is entitled to ?

(3)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1613/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 11th September 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Elasto Tapes Kochuveli, Thiruvananthapuram and the worker of the above referred establishment Smt. Rajitha, R., Kattil Veedu, Thekkumbhagam, Kadakkavoor P. O., Thiruvananthapuram in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the termination of service of Smt. R. Rajitha by the management of Elasto Tapes, Kochuveli, Thiruvananthapuram is justifiable ? If not, what are the relief she is entitled to ?

(4)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1625/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 12th September 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri A. V. Varu, Proprietor, Fashion Offset Printers, Pallikkulam Road, Thrissur-1 and the worker of the above referred establishment Smt. Radha, P., W/o. Vellalath Sunil Kumar, Anakkad, Kanjani P. O., Thrissur-680 612 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. Radha, P. by the employer (Fashion Offset Printers, Pallikkulam Road, Thrissur-1) is justifiable ? If not, what relief she is entitled to get?

(5)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1706/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 5th October 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) Sri M. K. Murali, S/o Kannan, Maruthoor House, Vadavanoor, Chittur, Palakkad (2) Sri M. A. Titto, S/o Antony, Muyalan House, Varantharapilli P. O. Mukundapuram Taluk, Thrissur (3) Sri A. U. Abdul Hakim, Perutheni Street, Puthunagaram, Palakkad and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, District Motor Transport Employees Union (CITU), Mettupalayam Street, Palakkad in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to (1) Sri M. Manikandan, Driver, (2) Sri R. Sajeev, Conductor, KL-09/P-3045 Bus, Murali Bus Service by the management is justifiable or not, If not, what relief they are entitled to?

(6)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1751/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 11th October 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the President, Kannur Co-operative Urban Bank Limited No. 1534, Kannur-2 and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, Kerala Co-operative Employees Front, Kannur Taluk Committee, Kannur-2 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether Sri Suneesh, working as appraiser in Kannur Co-operative Urban Bank is eligible to get the Pay Scale of Junior Clerk with effect from 1-1-2006 ?
2. If he is eligible what is the quantum of monetary benefits he may deserve from the management ?

(7)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1752/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 11th October 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Kerala State Co-operative Hospital Complex & Centre for Advanced Medical Services, Pariyaram, Kannur and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri C. M. Rajesh s/o P. Raghavan, Kaara P. O., Peravoor, Kannur in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri C. M. Rajesh, Nursing Assistant by the management of Kerala State Co-operative Hospital Complex & Centre for Advanced Medical Services, Pariyaram with effect from 20-1-2008 is justifiable or not? If not, what relief the worker is entitled to?

By order of the Governor,

RAJANIKANT R. BALIGA,
Under Secretary to Government.
